

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/070,777	Applicant(s) YOUNG ET AL.	
	Examiner C. SAYALA	Art Unit 1761	

All Participants:

(1) C. SAYALA.

(2) Mr Barrett.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 February 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

Claims 18 and 31

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant was advised that claim 18 depends on a cancelled claim and claim 31 depends on a non-existent claim. Also, the first sentence of the specification, claiming priority was missing. Examiner was authorized to make the necessary changes.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)